S. Mitra, Emory University

1. The Problem

The object of this investigation is to isolate three important characteristics of a life table, namely;

- the age at which the derivative of I_X, i.e., of the proportion surviving from age zero to age x (which is uniformly negative) assumes its maximum or negatively minimum value;
- 2) the age at which μ_X or the force of mortality $(\text{dl}_X/\text{dx})/\text{l}_X$ is minimum, and;
- 3) the age at which the expectation $\mbox{ of life } \overset{0}{e_{_{\mathbf{X}}}} \mbox{ is maximum.}$

It is well known that because of relatively large value of infant mortality compared with those of early child-hood ages, the derivative of $l_{\rm X}$ is large and negative at age zero. Thereafter, the derivative continues to increase till it attains a maximum at some age (usually in the age interval 10-14), and declines thereafter till $l_{\rm X}$ becomes zero. Correspondingly, the force of mortality assumes a relatively large value at age zero, begins to decrease thereafter until a minimum is reached (usually around age 12) and continues to increase and becomes quite large at the end of the age span. The

pattern of variation of $\overset{0}{e_X}$ is not that apparent, and as has been shown earlier

(Mitra, 1971), the maximum value of $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{X}}$ is reached after age zero but usually before age 5, the proof of which will be repeated in this paper for the sake of continuity.

2. Maximum value of $\overset{0}{e}_{X}$

By definition, $^0_{\rm ex} = T_{\rm x}/I_{\rm x}$ where $T_{\rm x} = \int_0^{\alpha-{\rm x}} I_{\rm x}+{\rm d}t$, α being the upper age limit, so that $I_{\alpha}=0$. Thus, $\frac{{\rm d}T_{\rm x}}{{\rm d}x} = -I_{\rm x}$

and therefore,

$$\frac{d_{e_X}^0}{dx} = -1 + \frac{T_X}{I_X^2} \left(-\frac{d_{I_X}}{dx} \right) = e_X^0 \mu_X - 1 \quad (1)$$

Now the life expectancy is known to increase after age zero, to reach a

maximum before age five and to decrease thereafter. Therefore, the only

optimum value of e_x is the maximum which is attained at say, $x = \hat{x}$, where

$$\hat{e}_{\hat{X}}^{0} \hat{\mu}_{\hat{X}} - 1 = 0$$
or
$$\hat{e}_{\hat{X}}^{0} = 1/\hat{\mu}_{\hat{X}}$$
 (2)

3. Minimum value of $\mu_{\textrm{X}}$

For e_X^0 to be maximum at $x = \hat{x}$,

$$\frac{d^2 \stackrel{0}{e_X}}{d_X^2} = \mu_X \left(\stackrel{0}{e_X} \mu_X - 1 \right) + \stackrel{0}{e_X} \frac{d}{d_X} \mu_X \qquad (3)$$

must be negative at $x = \hat{x}$. Because of (2),

$$\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{d^2 \hat{e}_X}{dx^2} \\
 & \times = \hat{x}
\end{bmatrix} = \hat{e}_X \hat{e}_X \begin{bmatrix} \frac{d}{dx} & \mu_X \\
 & \times = \hat{x}
\end{bmatrix} \times \hat{e}_X = \hat{x}$$
(4)

which can be negative when

$$\left[\frac{d}{dx}\mu_{x}\right]_{x=x}$$
 is negative.

Again, $\mu_{\textrm{X}}$ is known to decline at age zero and to attain a minimum at, say

 $x = \hat{x}$. Therefore, $d\mu_X/dx$ is negative in the age interval o to \hat{x} . Clearly

then, the maximum value of $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{X}}$ is obtained before $\mu_{\mathbf{X}}$ reaches its minimum.

Thus,
$$\hat{x} < \hat{x}$$
. (5)

4. Maximum value of the derivative of l_X

The minimum value of μ_{X} = -(dl $_{X}/dx)/l_{X}$ is reached when

$$\frac{d\mu_{x}}{dx} = \frac{1}{I_{x}^{2}} \left(\frac{dI_{x}}{dx} \right)^{2} - \frac{1}{I_{x}} \left(\frac{d^{2}I_{x}}{dx^{2}} \right)$$

$$= \mu_{x}^{2} - \frac{1}{I_{x}} \left(\frac{d^{2}I_{x}}{dx^{2}} \right)$$
(6)

is zero at
$$x = \overset{\circ}{x}$$
, so that
$$| \overset{\circ}{x} \mu_{\overset{\circ}{x}}^{2} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{d^{2}|x}{dx^{2}} \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{bmatrix}_{\overset{\circ}{x} = \overset{\circ}{x}}$$
(8)

Now, the derivative of I_X is uniformly negative, and because of large force of mortality at age zero, assumes a maximum value at, say $x = \overline{x}$. Correspondingly, the second derivative of I_X is positive at age zero, decreases thereafter till it becomes zero at $x = \overline{x}$.

Since the right hand side of (8) is positive, it is clear that the minimum value of the force of mortality is reached before the derivative of I_X assumes its maximum or its smallest negative value. In other words,

$$\hat{x} < \bar{x}$$
 (9)

and combining (9) with (5), the following inequality relationship,

$$\hat{x} < \hat{x} < \bar{x} \tag{10}$$

is established.

5. Estimation of \overline{x} , \hat{x} and \hat{x}

The exact age at which the three optimum conditions are met cannot be obtained since none of the life table

functions I_x , μ_X , or e_X can be expressed in terms of easily differentiable mathematical functions. Approximate solutions were however, obtained earlier (Mitra, ibid.) for ages corresponding to maximum life expectancies for different life tables. The method used was to find the point of intersection of two freehand curves obtained

by plotting the values of $^0_{e_X}$ and $1/\mu_X$ at early childhood ages. The values of μ_X can be determined from probabilities of dying $^0_{nq_X}$ in the age interval x to x+n, because of the well-known relationship

$$-\int_{0}^{n} \mu_{X} + t^{d\dagger}$$

$$1 - nq_{X} = e \qquad (11)$$

The exponent $\int_0^n \mu_{x+1} dt$ can be approximated by $n\mu_{x+\frac{n}{2}}$ (n<5)

for the age interval 1 to 20 where the force of mortality is relatively small and finally, disregarding squares and higher powers of $\mu_{\rm X}$, (11) can be reduced to

$$n^{q}x = n\mu_{x+\frac{n}{2}}$$
 (12)

Accordingly, the age, say x', at which $_{n}q_{x}$ is minimum, is approximately relat-

ed to \hat{x} by the following equation,

$$\hat{X} = x' + n/2 \tag{13}$$

The method of finite differences can then be used to determine x' for which $_{n}q_{x}$ values for n=5 and x=5, 10 and 15 are sufficient, since x' can generally be located around age ten. Using the conventional notation Δ for successive differences, so that

$$\Delta(_{n}q_{x}) = _{n}q_{x+n} - _{n}q_{x}$$

and

$$\Delta^{2}(_{n}q_{x}) = \Delta(_{n}q_{x+n}) - \Delta(_{n}q_{x})$$

etc., the solution for \hat{x} is given by

$$\overset{\sim}{\times} = 10 - \frac{5\Delta \binom{q}_{5}}{\Delta^{2}\binom{q}_{5}} \tag{14}$$

disregarding differences of third and higher orders.*

6. Applications

The formulas developed in the prepared in the

 $\stackrel{\sim}{x}$ and \overline{x} , and their inequality relationships were tried on a few model life tables (United Nations, 1958) for males, selected to cover a wide range of expectations of life at birth. The results are shown in Table 1.

as
$$u_{x} = u_{0}^{+ \times \Delta u_{0}^{+} + \frac{\times (x-1)}{1,2} \Delta^{2} u_{0}^{+} + \dots}$$

The function $\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{X}}$ can then be differentiated to determine optimum values, point of inflection, etc.

^{*}According to Newton's forward difference formula, the function $\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{X}}$, when $\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{0}}$, $\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{1}}$, $\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{2}}$,...are known can be expressed

Table 1. Optimum values and respective ages of a few life table functions

Model life Table num- ber	Expectation' of life at birth e o	Age of maximum life expec- tancy	Maximum life ex- pectancy ex	Age of minimum force of mortality ~ X	Age of maxi- mum dl _X /dx
10	24.8	4.5	38.1	13.2	13.7
25	31.9	3.6	43.8	12.8	13.1
40	39.2	2.8	49.5	12.6	12.8
55	46.4	2.6	55.6	12.3	12.5
70	53.6	2.0	60.5	12.1	12.2
85	61.5	1.7	65.0	11.7	11.8
100	68.5	0.9	69.9	11.1	11.2

The sharp increase in life expectancy from age 0 to \hat{x} is worth noting. So is the declining trend of

 \hat{x} with increase in e_0 . For life tables with lower life expectancies,

the age of maximum value of e_X^0 is larger and this is due to high early childhood mortality in addition to high infant mortality. Both \hat{x} and \bar{x} are

large compared to \hat{x} , and their variations over the wide range of life tables are systematic and small. Like \hat{x} , \hat{x} and \hat{x} are also inversely related with life expectancy, and cover a range of 13.2 to 11.1 and 13.7 to 11.2 respectively over

the range of e_{Ω} used in this analysis.

As noted in (9) $\stackrel{\sim}{\times}$ < $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$, but the difference is rather small in most cases.

Summary

The characteristics of life table functions are quite well known but investigations of some of the crucial values of these functions and their interrelationships have not yet been carried out. The importance of such an inquiry need not be over-emphasized in view of the fact that any exercise on graduation of life table functions must take into account the order and spacing of these parametric values. It is known that the function I_X has a steep negative slope at age zero and the steepness continues to diminish till it becomes smallest at some age and begins to increase thereafter.

Similarly, the force of mortality μ_{X} assumes a minimum value at some age

and life expectancy ex attains a maximum value after age zero. These three ages have been found to form a sequence with the age corresponding to the maximum life expectancy as the lowest of the series. Methods have been outlined to estimate these values for a given life table and all three are found to be inversely related with expectation of life at birth.

References

Freeman, Harry, Mathematics for Actuarial Students, Vol. 2, Cambridge, 1949.

Mitra, S., "Graduation of Life Table Functions" American Statistical Association, Proceedings of the Social Statistics Section, 1971.

United Nations, Methods for Population Projections by Sex and Age, ST/SOA/Series A/Population Studies, No. 25, 1958.